Multi-platform experiments, numerical simulations and data science techniques for generation of new altimetric products: focus on mesoscale and submesoscale variability (MANATEE – OSTST proposal)

Ananda Pascual (IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB)), Ronan Fablet (IMT-Atlantique, France); Evan Mason (IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Spain); Simon Ruiz (IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Spain); Antonio Sánchez-Román (IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Spain); Bàrbara Barceló-Llull (IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Spain); Giuseppe Aulicino (Università degli Studi di Napoli Parthenope, Italy); Yuri Cotroneo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Parthenope, Italy); Laura Gómez-Navarro (IGE and IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), France); Eugenio Cutolo (IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Spain); Daniel Rodríguez-Tarry (IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Spain); Said Ouala (IMT-Atlantique, France); Helena Antich (IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB),; Manuel López-Radcenco (IMT-Atlantique, France); Baptiste Mourre (SOCIB, Spain); Yannice Faugère (CLS, France); Amala Mahadevan (WHOI, US); Andrea Doglioli (MIO, France); Francesco D'Ovidio (LOCEAN, France)



OSTST Meeting · Virtual · 19-23 October 2020

The general objective of the MANATEE project is to improve the characterization of oceanic mesoscale and sub-mesoscale features (e.g. fronts, meanders, eddies and filaments) through the combined use of in situ and satellite data in synergy with numerical models and innovative computational techniques.

The ultimate goal is to enhance our understanding of the impact of fine-scale processes. MANATEE has assessed our actual capability to map the SSH variability for a range of scales (15-100 km) traditionally not resolved by conventional altimeters through the development of a multidisciplinary expertise in physical oceanography and computational science.

In this presentation we review some of the results obtained in the framework of this project including those obtained from the synergy of in situ and satellite observations with supporting numerical simulations during dedicated multi-platform field experiments in the western Mediterranean Sea aimed at estimating fine-scale horizontal and vertical currents (e.g. Abacus, PRE-SWOT, Calypso2018 and Calypso2019).

Motivation

• Horizontal and vertical motions associated with fine-scale ocean processes play a critical role in the distribution and exchange of heat, fresh water and biogeochemical tracers.

• Modelling suggest that vertical exchange is enhanced at density fronts.

• The measurement of vertical velocities and horizontal currents at small scales are two key challenges in ocean observation.



Vertical velocity at 100 m. McWilliams et al. 2019



Glider vertical section - salinity front Pascual et al. 2017



PRE-SWOT experiment – May 2018

Surface Water and **Ocean Topography**



A synergy among three programs

- Gain experience in multi-platform (two ships, gliders, drifters,...), multi-lateral campaign coordination.
- Area relatively sparsely sampled in previous oceanographic cruises
- Few modeling and remote sensing studies.
- Small Rossby radius, low tides, low internal waves
- Lagrangian and eulerian approaches





















Analysis of historical glider data Med Sea

JGR Oceans

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2018JC014636

Key Points:

- High-frequency variability dominates the circulation and transport across the channel
- The characteristic horizontal dimension of mesoscale instabilities in this region is 6.0 km

Temporal and Spatial Hydrodynamic Variability in the Mallorca Channel (Western Mediterranean Sea) From 8 Years of Underwater Glider Data

Bàrbara Barceló-Llull¹¹¹⁰, Ananda Pascual¹⁰, Simón Ruiz¹⁰, Romain Escudier²⁰, Marc Torner¹, and Joaquín Tintoré^{1,3}⁰

¹IMEDEA (UIB-CSIC), Esporles, Spain, ²CMCC, Bologna, Italy, ³SOCIB, Palma de Mallorca, Spain



Mallorca Channel (covered by SWOT fast sampling orbit)

- Characteristic horizontal scale (Rossby radius of deformation) of 6 km
- Eddy radius ranging from 5 to 18 km
- Temporal variability of circulation and transport over timescales of weeks stronger than seasonal changes

Barceló-Llull et al. JGR 2019

SWOT simulated data



 Snapshot of relative vorticity/f (ROMS-WMOP - Juza et al. 2016) in the WMed. ROMS-WMOP model and simulated SWOT observations using the SWOT NASA/JPL simulator (Gaultier et al. 2018).

Gómez-Navarro et al. Rem. Sens. 2018

Glider missions along altimetry tracks: ABACUS program



Sea Level Anomaly (color scale) Geostrophic velocity anomalies (black arrows)

Blue lines show the glider track from 15th Sept to 20th Oct 2014. The glider sampled and eddy and followed a SARAL/AltiKa track. Cotroneo et al. (2016) Aulicino et al. (2018) Cotroneo et al. (2020)

PRE-SWOT sampling strategy



- Mimic SWOT swath width and resolved scales
- Radiator grid covered 100 % in 4.5 days
- All data QC and public (DOI)

Dynamic Height – Geostrophic Velocity

Vs drifters

PRE-SWOT - Mimic 'SWOT'



corr = 0.91 rmsd = 0.06 std u drifters = 0.12 std u geos = 0.10

std v drifters = 0.06std v geos = 0.08

corr = 0.87

rmsd = 0.05

Optimal Interpolation

20 km Correlation scale

Illustration of SWOT potential

PRE-SWOT - Mimic 'SWOT'



DUACS/AVISO



OSSE – impact of interpolation and lack of synopticity



- OSSE to evaluate the impact of the errors associated with the reconstruction of SSH field from CTDs.
- Model : free-run 400 meters simulation initialized from the WMOP forecast model (Juza et al. 2016) + assimilation of satellite altimetry, L4 gridded SST and temperature and salinity profiles from Argo floats and CTD casts.

OSSE – impact of interpolation + lack of synopticity



• Non-synoptic CTD sampling, SSH errors associated with the interpolation + lack of synopticity are 20% for scales in the range of 20 -40 km.

Relative vorticity

QG-vertical velocity



Chl subduction - glider



Altimetry assessment – horizontal currents



New SAR instrument gives an improvement of 42% in the estimation of across-track surface velocities with respect to lowerresolution altimetry Multi-platform experiment (ship, glider) during commisioning phase of Sentinel-3A. SAR mode improves SLRM.



Decrease in percentage error between SARM and P-LRM product.

Heslop et al. GRL (2017)



Coherent Lagrangian Pathways from the Surface Ocean to Interior



Mahadevan, Pascual, Rudnick, Ruiz, Tintoré, D'Asaro, BAMS, 2020

CALYPSO dataset: uCTD 2019



~5 days sampling

Optimal interpolation vs variational method (DIVA, Barth et al. 2014)*



Dynamic height and geostrophic velocity overimposed

Cutolo et al. in prep.

Vertical velocity – sensitivity to the method of interpolation



How reliable are w-QG and w-SG? – response from a model simulation



(Winter snapshot of PSOM from M. Freilich, 500 m resolution)

Variational data assimilation & Neural Networks for the reconstruction of ocean dynamics

An example for upcoming SWOT mission



Summary and outlook

- In complement with SWOT and other satellites, integrated multi-platform experiments (ship, gliders, drifters, floats, aircraft, saildrones, moorings), including direct measurements of vertical velocities and surface currents (e.g. HF radar) are needed.
- Efforts are already underway in the Western Mediterranean (e.g. MedSWOT/PRE-SWOT and also ONR CALYPSO DRI).
- Combination of satellite and in situ data opens doors in order to infer vertical velocities.
- SWOT fast sampling phase through the Adopt a Crossover Consortium offers an opportunity to the oceanographic community for international collaboration.

Summary and outlook

- New developments to measure and predict vertical velocities (CALYPSO US ONR funded initiative).
- Autonomous and ship-based observing.
- Understand the implications. Vertical exchange of heat, gas, nutrients and biology.
- Machine learning and deep learning strategies to identify sampling strategies to reconstruct w.
- Need to observe and resolve a range of scales that will contribute to enhance our understanding of ocean currents associated with meso- and submesoscale features, with impacts on longer climatic scales.