Characterizing Submesoscale Variability in the Southern California Current System

> Teresa Chereskin Cesar Rocha Sarah Gille Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Dimitris Menemenlis Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Funding: NASA Ocean Surface Topography Team, SWOT SDT



In situ observations and model data

In situ observations CalCOFI ADCP: •sampled 4x/year along 6 lines •horizontal resolution: 5 km •depth range: 20 m to 300 m •time interval: 1993-2004

LLC MITgcm simulations:

•global

•forced with tides & ECMWF

- 90 vertical levels
- •LLC 2160: 1/24° (2 years)
- •LLC 4320: I/48° (Iyear)

(Poster: High-wavenumber variability in the California Current from new altimeters, SC2-009)



II-year mean currents at 20 m on EKE from Aviso

Snapshot of surface relative vorticity from LLC 4320



Inferring dynamics from horizontal wavenumber spectra:

What do we expect for kinetic energy spectra?

Isotropic Quasi-Geostrophy:
interior QG predicts k⁻³
(Charney, 1970)
surface QG predicts k^{-5/3}
(Blumen, 1978)

Ageostrophic motions can project onto similar scales, e.g., inertia-gravity waves k⁻² can flatten QG spectral slopes (Garrett & Munk, 1975)



Inferring dynamics from horizontal wavenumber spectra:

What has been observed for kinetic energy spectra?

Real ocean spectra from strong baroclinic jets (Gulf Stream, ACC) are consistent with •interior QG (k⁻³) at meso- to submeso- scales • k⁻² at submesoscales

(e.g., Callies & Ferrari, 2013; Rocha et al., 2016)

Is this ubiquitous?

What do we find in weak mean flow regions such as eastern boundary currents?



In situ observations and model data: KE spectra





Line 90 across/along-track KE spectra:

•ADCP & LLC4320 model at 20 m have similar shape and energy levels

•Slope varies with wavenumber; about -2 for submesoscales

•Total surface KE from HFR has similar energy/slope as ADCP/model spectra

(HFR courtesy Song-Yong Kim; Kim et al. 2011)

Inferring dynamics from horizontal wavenumber spectra:

Some properties of isotropic spectra: •The 1-D (alongtrack) spectra will follow the same power law as 2-D (k^{-n}) Ratio of across/along track KE components is useful diagnostic Across-track K_u and along-track K_v are related through the exponent n: $K_u = n K_v$ purely rotational (nondivergent) $K_v = n K_u$ purely divergent (irrotational) •Helmholtz decomposition of 1-D spectra separates rotational and divergent components (Buhler et al., 2014)

(e.g., Callies & Ferrari, 2013; Buhler et al., 2014; Rocha et al., 2016)



In situ observations



Slope varies with wavenumber, but is close to -2
Ratios of cross/along-track components not constant:

ADCP ratio ~1.8 [70 km < L < 300 km]
 ADCP ratio ~1 [L < 70 km]

In situ observations



Slope varies with wavenumber, but is close to -2
Ratios of cross/along-track components not constant:

- •ADCP ratio ~1.8 [70 km < L < 300 km]
- •ADCP ratio ~ 1 [L < 70 km]
- •Helmholtz decomposition:
 - Rotational dominates for L > 70 km
 - •Divergent contributes equally, for L < 70 km

In situ observations



Assume Garrett Munk for IGW, decompose into wave/vortex components
Ratios of across/ along (vortex) and along/across (wave) are constant (~-2)

Transition in dynamics occurs at ~70 km, but without a change in slope. Diagnosing wave/vortex decomposition: Geostrophy dominates at large scales; energy low compared to ACC IGW contributes about 50% at small scales; energy as high as in ACC

In situ observations: seasonality

•Seasonality observed in the GS and Kuroshio with strongest submesoscale energy in winter (e.g., Sasaki et al., 2014; Callies et al., 2015; Rocha et al., GRL in press)

- •CCS region has strong seasonal cycle in winds and upwelling
- •No significant seasonality in ADCP spectra
- •Weak seasonality in model spectra as well (not shown)



Model: seasonality



•2nd order statistics (RMS vorticity, strain, divergence) highlight submesoscales

•Vorticity and strain rate peak in late winter/early spring

•Divergence is out of phase (peaks in late summer/early fall)

Daily averaging reduces
 IGW component

• Divergence dramatically reduced and in-phase with vorticity and strain

(for Kuroshio, see Rocha et al. GRL in press)

Model: seasonality



•There is a phase cancellation between submesoscale turbulence and inertia-gravity waves that reduces seasonality in KE spectra

•Requires a model that includes realistic tidal forcing

(for Kuroshio, see Rocha et al. GRL in press)

Conclusions

- KE spectra in the southern California Current System follow an approximately -2 power law at submesoscales
- At large scales (L > 70 km), the CCS KE is dominated by balanced geostrophic motions. Ageostrophic motions begin to contribute equally at scales L < 70 km.
- Slope does not distinguish a transition as the diagnosed vortex and wave contributions each have -2 slopes.
- Submesoscale turbulence and waves undergo out-of-phase seasonal cycles: turbulence peaks in late winter; waves peak in late summer.
- This phase cancellation implies a seasonal modulation of the accuracy of geostrophic velocity estimated at submesoscales from high resolution altimeters such as SWOT.