

1/13

# Spatio-temporal evolution of 20<sup>th</sup> century regional mean sea level rise

Sönke Dangendorf, Marta Marcos, Chris Piecuch, Gabriel Jorda, Jürgen Jensen

email: soenke.dangendorf@uni-siegen.de



#### **GMSL vs. RMSL**

- GMSL has risen by ~14-22 cm since 1900
- The non-linearity of GMSL change and its physical origin is unsure
- An essential key is the understanding of RMSL change and its influence on GMSL



https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/6/69/NOAA\_s ea\_level\_trend\_1993\_2010.png



Dangendorf et al., under review

OSTST Meeting | La Rochelle | 01.11.2016 | Dangendorf et al.



#### Nat. vs. Anthropogenic

- Anthropogenic forcing is rela-tively smooth (long timescales)
- Natural forcing is relatively noisy (shorter timescales)
- But where is the transition and what is the right filter?



3/13

13 CMIP5 Models 1900-2005 [Slangen et al. 2016]



### 1.) Is there a more objective way to define the smoothing window for a non-linear trend?

2.) What is the role of regional phenomena (atmospheric forcing, vertical land motion), which contaminate the tide gauge measurements with respect to GMSL?

4/13

OSTST Meeting | La Rochelle | 01.11.2016 | Dangendorf et al.



#### Datasets

- 167 tide gauges corrected for vertical land motion (VLM) (Wöppelmann & Marcos, 2016) with an VLM error < 0.7 mm/yr and providing at least 75% of data since 1900
- GIA corrections from ICE6G (Peltier et al., 2015)
- Two global barotropic models kindly provided by C. Piecuch and G. Jorda
- Ice melt fingerprints from glaciers (Marzeion et al., 2012) and the Greenland ice sheet (Kjeldsen et al., 2015) kindly provided by T. Frederikse



5/13



#### **Detrended Fluctuation Analysis**

#### • Hypothesis:

The nonlinear (external) signal is well determined, if the noise structure (i.e. natural variability) in the residuals remains unaffected by the trend removal (here SSA)

#### • Approach:

- 1. Estimate natural variability in original data
- 2. Estimate/remove nonlinear trend
- 3. Estimate natural variability in residuals
- 4. Difference between 3. and 1. should be close to zero



OSTST Meeting | La Rochelle | 01.11.2016 | Dangendorf et al.



#### **Smoothing window**

- Characteristic of natural variability varies by region
- No major differences in Hurst coefficients (alpha) if the smoothing window is > ~25-30yr
- This is independent from the chosen locations





OSTST Meeting | La Rochelle | 01.11.2016 | Dangendorf et al.

Results



#### **Impact of VLM**

- Consistent with previous studies VLM correction significantly reduces the regional variability in trends
- However: Some regions (e.g. US east-coast) show a slightly better regional agreement when corrected for GIA only









#### Impact of atmospheric forcing

- Atmospheric forcing explains a significant portion of the interannual variability (especially in midlatitudes)
- However: The current generation of (coarse resolution) global models does not significantly improve the classical IB correction (→ neglect wind forcing)







OSTST Meeting | La Rochelle | 01.11.2016 | Dangendorf et al.



#### Trend rates in tide gauges

- Spatio-temporal plotting of the rates allows identifying regional patterns
- So far (with the grouping in use) there is a relatively large scatter
- However, there are some hotspots emerging (e.g. US eastcoast)
- Interestingly, the US eastcoast hotspot shows comparable ups and downs as GMSL!





#### **US** eastcoast

- Rates along the US eastcoast show coherent temporal patterns
- The rates shows qualitative similarities to the rates following ice melting from glaciers and the Greenland ice sheet (although with different amplitude)
- Circulation changes due to freshwater input?





#### Take-home messages

- We have introduced a (more) objective criteria to define the smoothing window of nonlinear trends
- The criteria suggests coherently around the world that the noise content (natural variability) remains "unaffected" by the removal of a non-linear trend of ~25-30 yr
- Our VLM estimates reduce the scatter of non-linear trends on a global scale (with some regional differences)
- Current generation of barotropic models seems to underestimate the role of wind forcing due to the coarse resolution of the models (→ use IB correction)
- Spatio-temporal mapping of nonlinear trends identifies some interesting "hotspots"
- Recent updates in "fingerprinting" offer unique insights into the processes behind non-linear trends





## Thank you for your attention!

OSTST Meeting | La Rochelle | 01.11.2016 | Dangendorf et al.

13/13