Assessment of Orbit Quality through the Sea Surface Height calculation: Modelling the Geocenter

> A. Ollivier¹, <u>L.</u> <u>Zawadzki¹</u>, A. Couhert², M. Lievin¹, N. Taburet¹, Mt Abaines¹ Annabelle.Ollivier@cls.





Service Altimetrie Localisation Precise

OST/ST 2016

La Rochelle, France

Context

New GDR-E standards are reaching a very good quality (cf. OSTST 2015)

Thanks to GRACE-based models, Gravity field errors are now much reduced. Smaller and smaller errors –considered as negligible beforeare now observable.

This highlighted the fact that changing the geocenter position can induce millimetric variations on the orbits (order of magnitude of the precision required for climate studies)



Impact of the Geocenter position uncertainty on altimetric data on the regional Mean Sea Level trends in Jason-2

How can we isolate the impact of Geocenter position

- GPS constellation reference network is aligned to ITRF origin → Estimating the geocenter position from GPS constellation is not possible in the current solution
- Hence, this study is performed on pure DORIS orbit solutions.
- Besides, a dynamic model is used in order to focus on the Z impact (unlike reduced dynamic which effect was shown to be mixed in X, Y and Z directions, see A. Couhert's talk)

	Geocenter model	Technics	Mission
POE-E standard	Ries model = annual motion (no drift) of the LASER reference geocenter	DORIS + GPS Reduced dynamics model	Jason-2
DORIS Dyn Ries	Ries model = annual motion (no drift) of the LASER reference geocenter	DORIS Dynamic model	Jason-2





- No global trend difference but 1mm.yr⁻¹ impact on regional trend
- Large scale effects very variable in time



0.0

C.2

0.0

0.2

0.4

Mean Sea Level Anomaly differences:

non linear complex signal in time and space

CLS

cnes

0.2

0.0

0.2

OSTST, November 2016, La Rochelle

How can we isolate the impact of Geocenter position

	Geocenter model		Technics	Mission
DORIS Dyn Ries	Ries model = annual motion (no drift) of the LASER reference geocenter (~GDR-E))	DORIS Dynamic model	Jason-2
DORIS Dyn NoGeoc	No geocenter model (~GDR-D)		DORIS Dynamic model	Jason-2
DORIS Dyn FF	Fiducial free: DORIS geocenter motion estimated with free network (w.r.t ITRF2008/DPOD2008)		DORIS Dynamic model	Jason-2



Dynamic DORIS Ries - Dynamic DORIS No Geocenter



Negligible impact:

- No global trend difference
- No large scale effects on regional trend difference
- clear small annual signal

OSTST, November 2016, La Rochelle







Dynamic DORIS Ries - Dynamic DORIS Fiducial Free

Sea Level Anomaly trend differences Mission j2, cycles 1 to 286 Mission j2, cycles 1 to 286 100 150 50 200 250 Mean = -0.01888Slope = 0.0192 mm/yr0mm 50 -1mm -50 -2mm -100 100 0 Trends (mm/yr) 2010 2012 2014 2016 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0

Mean Sea Level Anomaly differences

Significant impact:

- No global trend difference but 0.8 mm.yr⁻¹ N/S regional trend
- Clear North/South slighty variable in time cf. yearly average

OSTST, November 2016, La Rochelle







Anomaly

differences

OSTST, November 2016, La Rochelle

Slide 11

(Tentative) metrics to determine the best model of Geocenter, for climate applications



• Residuals SLA - Steric - Mass are usually a relevant metric to assess orbit quality (Couhert et al., 2015)

 However, Mass estimations from GRACE also suffer from geocenter motion (Swenson, 2008)



Approximation: Over a (very) large period

- The map of mass-height-equivalent trends is theoretically uniform
- The map of Dynamic Height Anomaly (DHA, steric) trends is theoretically uniform
- The map of Sea Level Anomaly (SLA) trends is theoretically uniform

In our case:

- The period is short (7 years) → Trend estimates may be impacted by interannual variations
- However, a first-order diagnosis is to compare the consistency between regional trends → here: North vs South
- Large uncertainty with this method: ~0.8mm.yr⁻¹



Hemispheric trends of SLA-DHA =



Approximation: Over a (very) large period

- The map of mass-height-equivalent trends is theoretically uniform
- The map of Dynamic Height Anomaly (DHA, steric) trends is theoretically uniform
- The map of Sea Level Anomaly (SLA) trends is theoretically uniform

In our case:

- The period is short (7 years) → Trend estimates may be impacted by interannual variations
- However, a first-order diagnosis is to compare the consistency between regional trends → here: North vs South
- Large uncertainty with this method: ~0.8mm.yr⁻¹



Hemispheric trends of SLA



Conclusions

Conclusions

Changing the geocenter position model has a hemispheric ~1 mm.yr⁻¹ impact in orbits (= order of magnitude of the precision required for climate studies)

The discrepancies induced by a **change of geocenter** is of a **similar order** of magnitude as changing the **POD estimation method** (impact of GPS and reduced dynamics) that can hardly be totally separated from the geocenter modelling itself.

The analysis performed here also showed a non negligible effect of the **annual signal**. This needs further investigations.

Deciding **which solution is the best remains challenging** because it reaches the level of precision of the methods based on SLA or in situ comparisons

Still, the **rather theoretical** issue addressed here raises interesting perspectives to improve the diagnosis that enable to validate orbital solutions with altimetry.



Thank you for your attention

Annabelle.Ollivier@cls.fr Lionel.Zawadzki@cls.fr



Back up





OSTST, November 2016, La Rochelle

Slide 19

Back up





OSTST, November 2016, La Rochelle

Slide 20