Separation of Coherent and Incoherent Scattering Components from Delay/Doppler Altimeter Waveforms

> Alejandro Egido & Walter H.F. Smith NOAA – Laboratory for Satellite Altimetry





Laboratory for Satellite Altimetry NOAA-NESDIS-STAR

OSTST • October 20th – 23rd, 2015 • Reston, VA, USA



Introduction

The problem of Land Contamination in the DDA





OSTST • October 20th - 23rd, 2015 • Reston, VA, USA



- Land contamination is likely to occur from static coherent targets.
- On the contrary, the sea is essentially a distributed incoherent target (and it's moving!) à It decorrelates along time
- To separate both scattering components we proposed to use a fully-focused Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) processing technique, to single out the coherent components and then remove them from the unfocussed SAR delay-Doppler Altimeter Waveforms
- Fully focused SAR aims to combine coherently the response of a single point on the surface during its entire illumination time ~ 2 seconds
 - Achievable along-track resolution ~ L/2



From Conventional to Focused SAR Altimetry



- Open burst operation
- PRF ~ 2 kHz

OSTST • October 20th – 23rd, 2015 • Reston, VA, USA

PRF ~ 18 KHz

•

PRF ~ 18 KHz

Focused SAR Altimetry Processing (i)

- The development of the focused SAR Altimetry processing technique was done based on CryoSat-2 Baseline-B transponder over passes.
- Processing based on backprojection SAR processing algorithm
- Image: Range Cell Migration
 - (a) Original FBR Radargram
 - (b) Range-Cell Migrated Stack
 - (c) Range-Cell Migrated Stack
 - (c) range-Doppler correction



OSTST • October 20th – 23rd, 2015 • Reston, VA, USA

Focused SAR Altimetry Processing (ii)

• The coherent processing implies that not only the power echoes need to be perfectly aligned...but also the phase!

NOAA

- perfectly aligned...but also the phase!
 Errors of 0.5 cm in the position of the satellite during the whole 2 seconds of illumination time could destroy the coherency of the signal...
- Need to compensate for:
 - Platform Motion
 - Time-tagging errors
 - Inter-burst phase variation
 - Tracking variations

. . .



Focused SAR Altimetry Processing (iii)

- The coherent processing implies that not only the power echoes need to be perfectly aligned...but also the phase!
- Errors of 0.5 cm in the position of the satellite during the whole 2 seconds of illumination time could destroy the coherency of the signal...
- Need to compensate for:
 - Platform Motion
 - Time-tagging errors
 - Inter-burst phase variation
 - Tracking variations



OSTST • October 20th – 23rd, 2015 • Reston, VA, USA



Focused SAR Altimetry Processing (iv)

- SAR Image formation:
 - Focusing along successive points on the track
 - Slant-range and Along-track resolution equal to theoretical values: ~0.5 m !
- Multi-looking of the single looks could be performed in a later stage
 2D SAR Point Target Response
 Across-Track and Along-Track Cuts



Focusing on real Targets

• Small pond in India

NOAA

- Calm in-land water body
- Along-track size < delay-Doppler Resolution
- The pond is clearly resolved in focused SAR Image
- Multiple Impulse responses (ghosts images) due to closed burst operation
- Along-track response could be improved by deconvolution techniques
- Direct application on hydrology & sea-ice (leads) determination







- Fully Focused SAR and delay-Doppler processing applied on track off the coast of Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain
- Both waveforms show similar behavior even though they have been processed in very different ways
 - Unfocused SAR and multilooking over 1 stack
 - Focused SAR and multilooking of 160 looks over 80 m
- Despite the coherent focusing for 2 seconds the sea return is still present in the waveform...
- We could not achieve the separation of coherent and incoherent scattering components...
- ...but why?
 - Shouldn't the surface of the ocean decorrelate after 2 seconds?
 - Could this actually be used to measure the ocean surface?
 - What is the performance of the fully focused SAR Altimeter over the ocean?







Focusing on the Ocean (i) Performance Evaluation

- Analysis on SAR track over the North East Atlantic
- Long track with diverse SWH and wind conditions
- Concentrate on region with stable SWH ~2m for performance evaluation







Focusing on the Ocean (ii)

Performance Evaluation – Equivalent Number of Looks

- Comparison of focused SAR vs DDA:
 - Focused SAR
 - Coherent processing = 2 seconds à 0.5 meters along track resolution
 - Multi-looked waveforms @ 80 Hz
 - Unfocused DDA
 - 181 Looks Along-track
 - Multi-looked waveforms @ 20 Hz (standard ESA product)
- Equivalent Number of Looks @ 1 Hz:
 - Radargrams centered to a common reference
 - Delta-Range removed from waveforms
- Retracking performed with full SAMOSA model taylored for each processing





- Equivalent Number of Looks computation
 - Averaged waveforms computed @ 1Hz
 - Noise computed out of 100 1Hz Averaged Wfs
- Focused SAR processing shows a significant improvement with respect to the delay/Doppler processing @ 20 Hz à Improvement in final SNR and speckle reduction





- Retracking with full SAMOSA model
- Averaged Focused SAR waveforms is slightly narrower than delay/Doppler waveform around the leading edge à Improvement in retracking (?)
- SLA noise:
 - DDA SLA noise ~ 5 cm @ 20 Hz à 1 cm @ 1Hz
 - Focused SAR noise ~ 5 cm @ 80 Hz! à 0.X ? cm @ 1Hz
 - If measurements are absolutely independent this could mean 0.5 cm @ 1Hz!





- Development of both unfocused delay/Doppler and fully focused SAR L1 processor
 - Measured along-track resolution in agreement with theoretical expectations, i.e. ~0.5 meters (SAR)
 - Direct application on hydrology and sea-ice/leads studies
- The coherent and incoherent scattering components could not be separated due to the presence of strong reflection from the ocean.
- In fact, the ocean remains coherent for a certain amount of time, which allows focusing on the ocean surface.
- The focused SAR multi-looked waveforms @ 1 Hz show an increase in the ENL by a factor of 2 with respect the "conventional" delay/Doppler processing (20 Hz processing)
- The focused SAR geophysical parameters noise @ 84 Hz is equivalent to the delay/Doppler noise @ 20 Hz.
 - Improvement by a factor of 2 (possible), $\sqrt{2}$ (conservative) @ 1Hz wrt DDA:
 - SLA noise @ 1Hz around 0.7cm (conservative); 0.5 cm (best case)...to be verified...
- Detailed description of technique soon in:

Fully Focused SAR Altimetry: Theory and Applications; Egido & Smith, [in preparation]