Exploitation of the full SARmode signal for different applications

T. Moreau, J. Aublanc, P. Thibaut (CLS) F. Boy, J-D. Desjonquures, N. Picot (CNES)

OSTST – Lake Constance, Germany – 28-31 October 2014

SAR ALTIMETRY IN OPEN OCEAN

 Spectral analysis for sigma0 shows more energy in the SAR PSD particularly between 2-10 km (< LRM footprint size), due to the reduced footprint

→ Enhanced resolution allows to capture smaller scales roughness (that needs to be better characterized)





- But only 1-D SAR echo power (averaging different Mooresukenpentsteersister)
 different Mooresukenpentsteersister
 distance are retracked
- -> Estimating range, swh and sigma0
- Exploitation of the entire 2 D stack has been found to be very complex (to handle huge amount of data) and time-consuming
- → We decide to analyze the power distribution on the stack wrt different look angles and see which information of interest may be extracted about the ocean surface

CONTENT

- Analysis of Power Distribution on the Stack (PDS) from SARM CPP measurements over ocean:
 - understanding,
 - limitations and,
 - simulation
- Development of a PDS retracking algorithm for ocean surfaces
- Analysis of the PDS estimates: moments of the PDS with statistical and retracking method

This study is undertaken in the framework of the **lotus** project and through CNES contract



OSTST - Lake Constance, Germany - 28-31 October 2014



STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE PDS : STD

Cartography of SIGMA_STAT [January 2013]





STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE PDS : KURTOSIS

Cartography of KURT_STAT [July 2012]



PDS RETRACKING

 Asymmetrical distribution of power in stack due to the off-nadir antenna pointing requires the use of a distorted Gaussian-shape model

→ Gram Charlier series

- Basic moments are derived from a best fitting curve process with a misfit confidence value
- The maximum of the Gram-Charlier fit is the measurement of the pitch



100

Cartography of valid GC estimations [August 2012]

0 Valid GC estimations (%)

60

40

100

80

-100

20

SENSITIVITY TO ALTITUDE AND PITCH



ANALYSIS OF PDS RETRACKING

20

10

Ω

-10

-20

-30

-40

n

Specular point model

20

cross-section σ_0 (dB)

ackscattering

ESTIMATES

Increasing wind

Diffuse scattering

60

Bragg model

40

rough surface

Surface

80

shadowing

The dominant environment factor responsible for sea surface roughness is the wind

Surface roughness analysis done at constant pitch and altitude over ocean to compensate the altitude and pitch effects

- Mean square slope given by the PDS std increases linearly with wind speed (\rightarrow dependency may be used to compute wind from PDS estimates) but poor correlation because of the variability of the retracking
- Low dependency with wave height



ANTENNA POINTING ISSUE

- In CPP products, off nadir angles seen by the altimeter are derived from:
 - satellite platform measurements (roll/pitch) provided by CNES POD (after STR quaternion transformation), and
 - angular biases computed between the STR boresight and the altimeter electromagnetic axis





- Non-negligible error on range and SWH estimates wrt inaccurate knowledge of mispointing angles (due to imprecise pitch/roll angles or to incorrect adjusted angular biases) are determined
- raising the need of accurate off nadir angles as input parameter of a 3parameters SAR retracking module

Note that the S-3 attitude determination error is defined below $10\mu rad (2\sigma)$ per axis (~6E-4°) while the Cryosat-2 one is higher ($\leq 130\mu rad$) since no gyros are on-board.

COMPARISON OF ALONG-TRACK MISPOINTING ANGLES



0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.10

PDS CLASSIFICATION



CONCLUSIONS

 Power Distribution on Stack from SARM CPP data has been analyzed over 6 months

→ Information of interest may be extracted (pitch bias of the star tracker, roughness and wind speed, classification of PDS shapes to be added in higher level products for the final user)

- However the particular Cryosat-2 satellite with un-steady satellite orbit and off-axis angle strongly impacts the PDS shape leading to difficult interpretations (which should not be the case with Sentinel-3)
- Gram-Charlier model gives adequate description of the measured return power, more probable than for a Gaussian distribution
- The full CPP database (2-3 years) will permit to identify entire seasonal variation and annual trend



OSTST - Lake Constance, Germany - 28-31 October 2014



PERSPECTIVES

- To adjust the Gram-Charlier model to make it more robust (particularly over polar ocean) and to improve its correlation with geophysical parameters (wind speed)
- Analysis of the Power Distribution on Burst would permit to eliminate those oceanic waveforms that are contaminated by land and ice returns (application to coastal regions, ocean polar and in-land waters)
- To cross-compare with Ka-band Altika products, with moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) and high resolution SAR imaging to assess the consistency of the SARM altimeter findings
- The exploitation of the PDS will also provide valuable information on both ice-sheet stability and sea-ice coverage



OSTST - Lake Constance, Germany - 28-31 October 2014





AMPLITUDE NUMERICAL SIMULATOR



The simulator mimics the altimeter response in SAR mode (taking into account the real elliptical antenna pattern and a real point target response)

Major processes of the SAR simulator are in sequence:

- The power return signals are computed for each point of gridded surface then sorted by Doppler band and accumulated in the appropriate range gates of the waveforms.
- To convolve FSSR with AIR and RIR of the radar (approximated by a sinc² function)
- To correct Doppler bands in range to compensate the slant range migration,
- To sum (multilooking) Doppler beam waveforms (looks) from the same surface to finally form the SAR echo model for a flat sea surface
- To finish, the simulator convolves the previous result with the PDF of the significant wave height.

OSTST – Lake Constance, Germany – 28-31 October 2014

SENSITIVITY TO PITCH ANGLE

• At same point surface and same satellite altitude if $\zeta_2 \neq \zeta_1$ then $P_{r2} \neq P_{r1}$ since $G_2 \neq G_1$ (but $R_2 = B_1$) $1_{n=8}$





- On real data, low dependency of the PDS std on pitch angle is observed (also seen in skewness values)
- No dependency found on roll angle and negligible on radial velocity
- Surface roughness analysis will be done at constant altitude and constant pitch angle over ocean to compensate both effects

OSTST – Lake Constance, Germany – 28-31 October 2014

ANALYSE OF THE ALONG-TRACK MISPOINTING ESTIMATES

Cartography of MAX_DEG_GC [July 2012]

