

Results from Independent Calibration and Validation of the Sentinel-6A Michael Freilich Mission

Linda Forster, Johan Nilsson, Jean-Damien Desjonquères, Shailen Desai

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA

© 2023 All Rights Reserved. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

OSTST San Juan, Puerto Rico, 2023

Sentinel-6A Michael Freilich Mission

- Sentinel-6 has been collecting sea level measurements along the historical reference ground track since December 2020.
- Took over as the new reference mission in April 2022 when Jason-3 was moved to the interleaved orbit.



Updates from Sentinel-6A F06 to latest F08 baseline

1. Numerical Retracker (NR) retrieval in addition to MLE-4

 \rightarrow NR is provided for Ku-band products at low resolution (LR).

→ Direct use of measured Point Target Response (PTR) accounts for potential altimeter drift.

- Ku-band antenna aperture angle updated from 1.33° to 1.34°
 → Reduces mis-pointing and has small impact on sigma0.
- **3.** Updated AMR calibration.

Sentinel-6A SSHA Crossover Statistics



- F08 and F06 are in line in terms of performance.
- Measurement noise is meeting requirements (3.2 cm for LR mode).

Sentinel-6A SSHA Crossover Statistics

5-degree bins

5



Anomaly for cycle 20 due to erroneous platform mispointing in the ancillary data. Passes 182 and 183 have been corrected manually in the reprocessing.

Sentinel-6A SSHA Crossover Statistics

5-degree bins



OSTST 2023, San Juan, Puerto Rico

Sentinel-6A Sea Surface Height Anomaly MLE-4

Time series of Global SSHA Standard Deviation



Time series of Global SSHA performance consistent between F06 and F08.

Sentinel-6A Sea Surface Height Anomaly MLE-4

Time series of Global SSHA Average



Time series of average SSHA consistent between F06 and F08,
 except during cycles affected by radiometer calibration error in F06.

Sentinel-6A Sea Surface Height Anomaly MLE-4

Time series of Global SSHA Average



- Time series of average SSHA consistent between F06 and F08, except during cycles affected by radiometer calibration error in F06.
- F08 F06 SSHA difference is about 0.7 mm for side-A and 0.5 mm for side-B, mostly explained by impact
 of sigma0 change on SSB.

Sentinel-6A Wet Path Delay Difference AMR – Model

Time series of Global Wet Path Delay Average



F08 reprocessing fixes an error in the operational AMR calibrations that was present in F06 (cycles 62 - 77).

OSTST 2023, San Juan, Puerto Rico

Sentinel-6A Wet Path Delay Difference AMR – Model

Time series of Global Wet Path Delay Average



F08 reprocessing fixes an error in the operational AMR calibrations that was present in F06 (cycles 62 – 77).

OSTST 2023, San Juan, Puerto Rico

Sentinel-6A Range Ku-band: F08 MLE4 vs. NR

Time series of Ku-band Range Average



- No significant drifts between MLE-4 and NR for both altimeter sides.
- MLE-4 ranges are shorter than NR ranges: bias of 6.6 mm for side A, 5.6 mm for side B.

Sentinel-6A in Tandem with Jason-3: F06 vs. F08

Sea Surface Height Anomaly



Overall good consistency. NR has smaller bias relative to Jason-3

Sentinel-6A in Tandem with Jason-3: Orbit – Range Ku – MSS



- NR shows closer alignment with Jason-3 than MLE-4 (2.5 mm vs. 8 mm) and flatter difference both as a function of SWH and latitude.
- Equatorial signal still evident in the difference and is centered around 3 degrees North (believed to come from Jason-3, still under investigation).
- No identifiable difference between F06 and F08 in range (not shown here).

Sentinel-6A in Tandem with Jason-3: Significant Wave Height



- Compared to MLE-4, NR shows larger difference with Jason-3 and a larger latitude dependency (due to processing error for S6A F08 in 20Hz to 1Hz SWH compression).
- No difference between F06 and F08 for MLE-4 (not shown here).

Sentinel-6A in Tandem with Jason-3: SigmaO Ku-band



- Small bias between F06 MLE-4 and F08 MLE-4 products due to updated antenna aperture (about 0.1 dB impact).
- NR is much closer to Jason-3 estimation in terms of bias with similar behavior as for MLE-4.

Conclusions

- 1. Sentinel-6 comparison F06 vs. F08:
 - Similar performance for F06 compared to F08. Overall performances are within requirements when compared to crossover RMS.
 - SSHA evolution is almost the same, except a very subtle change due to the antenna aperture update in the retracking
 - Correction of radiometer calibrations for AMR removed drift in wet path delay w.r.t. ECMWF model. Remaining jump in AMR vs. model difference is due to the model update on 31 Oct 2021.
- 2. Sentinel-6 comparison with Jason-3 highlights improvement of NR over MLE-4:
 - Consistency with Jason-3 is improved by using NR results.
 (Except for SWH in low SWH conditions due to a known bug in 1-Hz compression).
 - Improved consistency most apparent for comparing MLE-4 vs. NR altimeter parameters as functions of SWH and latitude.