Can Deep Argo Close the Sea Level Budget in the Southwest Pacific Basin?



Paige D. Lavin^{1,2}, Gregory Johnson³

¹Cooperative Institute for Satellite Earth System Studies (CISESS), University of Maryland

²NOAA/NESDIS Laboratory for Satellite Altimetry (LSA) ³NOAA/OAR Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (PMEL)

Synergies between Argo, GRACE, and Altimetry - OSTST 2023















NASA OSTST Project, Full-Depth Seasonal Sea Level Budgets

Breaking Down a Sea Level Budget

 $\Delta \eta = \Delta h_m$







 Δh_{o}



Sea level anomaly (SLA) from altimeters Source: NOAA/EUMETSAT Radar Altimeter Database System (RADS) Gravimetry measurements or observations of the land-ocean exchange of freshwater mass Source: GRACE/GRACE-FO *In situ* T, S, and P (hence ρ) measurements **Source: Core and Deep Argo floats**

Global Sea Level Budget – Does it close?





NASA OSTST Project, Full-Depth Seasonal Sea Level Budgets

Global Sea Level Budget – Does it close?



Yes (from 2005–2015)

Potential sources of mismatch from 2016 onward:

- SLA: Wet tropospheric correction for altimeters
- Mass: GIA model and accelerometer changes for GRACE/GRACE-FO
- Steric: Salty drift in Argo float salinities

Regional Sea Level Budget – Does it close?



- Spatial patterns of trend in combined steric & ocean mass sea level contributions do not fully match those of SLA from satellite altimetry at 1° grid resolution (2005–2015)
 - \Rightarrow SLB closure on the global scale likely due to a cancelation of errors
 - ⇒ Steric + ocean mass fields lack small-scale features seen in the satellite altimetry
 - Observation processing differences at the hemisphere scale (e.g., GRACE GIA correction and altimetry orbital altitude) also contribute to mismatch



• SLB closes when aggregated to ocean-basin scale, except in Indian-South Pacific region

Royston et al., 2020

NASA OSTST Project, Full-Depth Seasonal Sea Level Budgets

Regional Sea Level Budget – Does it close?

Steric + Mass



SLA

-2

Spatial pat

those of SI

⇔ SLB clo

⇒ Steric

0

Trend (mm

- Assess SLB in the Southwest Pacific Basin at an intermediate, sub-basin scale (~6° × 6°, i.e., across 4 GRACE mascons)
- More carefully account for deep steric term spatial & temporal variability by using Deep Argo

⇒ Observation processing differences at the hemisphere scale (e.g., GRACE GIA correction and altimetry orbital altitude) also contribute to mismatch

• SLB closes when aggregated to ocean-basin scale, except in Indian-South Pacific region

Royston et al., 2020

Residual

Trend (mm y⁻¹)

ot fully match

plavin@umd.edu

8

S At

E Pac

NW Pac

Ind-S Pac

Sub-Trop N At

Deep Argo is rapidly increasing our sampling of the deep ocean



- In <7 years, Deep Argo has accumulated in regional pilot arrays about as many full-depth profiles as ships over the past 70 years (Zilberman et al., In Press)
- Floats measure down to 4000 or 6000 dbar



Deep Argo is rapidly increasing our sampling of the deep ocean



- In <7 years, Deep Argo has accumulated in regional pilot arrays about as many full-depth profiles as ships over the past 70 years (Zilberman et al., In Press)
- Floats measure down to 4000 or 6000 dbar



Deep Argo allows us to look at the temporal variability of full-depth steric height anomaly



Note: This includes data from ALL YEARS

NASA OSTST Project, Full-Depth Seasonal Sea Level Budgets

Deep Argo allows us to look at the temporal variability of full-depth* steric height anomaly**



Note: This includes data from ALL YEARS

**SHA values are relative to World Ocean Atlas steric height values for this region (calculated within each GRACE/GFO mascon)

*Reference pressure for steric height calculation was set by balancing using the deepest level possible with using the greatest number of profiles possible (~5000 dbar on average)

NASA OSTST Project, Full-Depth Seasonal Sea Level Budgets

As expected, upper ocean (< 1900 dbar) SHA dominates the full-depth SHA signal...



Note: This includes data from ALL YEARS

NASA OSTST Project, Full-Depth Seasonal Sea Level Budgets

...while deep SHAs are small but still highly variable spatially (and temporally)



Typically 0–30% the size of the full-depth steric term

NASA OSTST Project, Full-Depth Seasonal Sea Level Budgets

Availability of Deep Argo Data Constrains Scale and Location of SLB Analysis



 After quality control, ~7300
Deep Argo profiles remain from June 2016 to October 2023



 1st attempt: Can we assess the SLB at the resolution of the 3° × 3° GRACE/GFO mascons?

Ex: Two Well-Sampled Mascons Show Temporal Variability in Full-Depth and > 2000 dbar SHA



NASA OSTST Project, Full-Depth Seasonal Sea Level Budgets

For each mascon: Full depth steric + Mass = SLA?

Trend in Each Term in Mascon 2985	
Shallow steric	12.643 mm/yr
Deep steric	-0.550 mm/yr
Full depth steric	12.092 mm/yr
Mass	2.329 mm/yr
Steric + Mass	14.4 mm/yr
Sea Level Anomaly	12.1 mm/yr
SLA – (Steric + Mass)	2.3 mm/yr

- There is sufficient data (and low noise) in several, but not all, of the mascons of interest to do SLB analysis
- In some mascons, the difference between SLA and Steric + Mass is very small! (E.g., 2.3 mm/yr in mascon 2985)



Takeaways

- Deep Argo data can be used to calculate the deep steric sea level component in the SWPB
- More work is needed to determine if these deep SHA data will enable us to close the SLB in throughout this basin of interest vs. only in a few mascons
- Ongoing work: Assess the SLB over groups of 2 × 2 mascons (with Core Argo data for shallow steric sea level) both with respect to the trend and seasonal variability of the SLB components
- Aiming to provide critical validation of the S6 regional sea level drift objective (5 mm yr⁻¹) in these deep basins → Continued expansion of Deep Argo will be key for evaluating if we are meeting this objective in other basins



Oct 2018 Jan 2019 Apr 2019 Jul 2019 Oct 2019 Jan 2020 Apr 2020 Jul 2020