



opernicus

💓 🤍 🔮 esa 🔄 cnes

Carable of Contents



• POS4 Side-B Tandem phase results in a nutshell

 S6 MF latest findings and main evolutions foreseen for 2022/2023

• S6 MF evolutions plan

opernicus 🗲 EUMETSAT 🚳

COSA CONS

POS4 Tandem phase results in a nutshell

- POS-4 quality assessment vs requirements:
 - Altimeter LR range noise ([1.2, 1.5, 2.4, 3.2] cm at [1, 2, 5, 8] m SWH):
 - Side-A: [1.25, 1.44, 1.93, 2.41]
 - Side-B: [1.24, 1.45, 1.94, 2.41]
 - Altimeter HR range noise ([0.7, 0.8, 1.3, 2.0] cm at [1, 2, 5, 8] m SWH):
 - Side-A: [0.62, 0.75, 1.46, 2.42]
 - Side-B: [0.62, 0.75, 1.45, 2.43]
 - Altimeter HR SWH (Uncertainty < 15 cm + 5% of SWH): same effects of vertical waves motion observed on both Side-A and Side-B.

Evolutions Foreseen

Classification of evolutions in those:

To improve LR and HR GMSL trend

- 1. Numerical Retracking
- 2. Range Walk
- 3. Use of ECHO CAL as main CAL1 instrument correction

To improve HR SWH estimates

- 4. Use of reduced stack in L1B \rightarrow Stack Doppler ambiguities effect
- 5. Cancellation of Vertical Velocity effects

\geq Evolution: Improving LR and HR GMSL ightarrow Numerical Retracking and Range Walk

- As observed on previous SARM altimeters,
 - POS4 Tx power drops
 - It comes with PTR main lobe width and asymmetries drift
- Within specifications(@instrument level) but strong GMSL impact with current PDAP implementation
 - LR/Brown and HR/SAMOSA make Gaussian assumption for PTR modelling
 - Deviation between in-flight PTR and Gaussian function is compensated using a static LUT
 - As PTR shape drifts in time, the correction is not optimal → LR GMSL impacted by +0.75mm/y (sideA)
 - in HR mode, on top of the PTR shape evolution, it has been reported (Aublanc et al. 2020-OSTST) the necessity to apply the range walk (L1 correction) → HR GMSL impacted by +2.5mm/y (total, sideA)



 \geq Evolution: Improving LR and HR GMSL \rightarrow Numerical Retracking and Range Walk

PDAP EVOLUTIONS FORESEEN FOR GMSL:

- 1. Implementation of **the CZT range walk** in the L1B Delay-Doppler processing (Guccione et al. 2008, Pierre Rieu S3VT 2020, Dinardo et al. 2022 in prep)
- Implementation of a numerical retracking both in LR & HR modes (Boy et al. 2016, Tourain et al. 2021)
 - Use of a frequency-based model to speed up computation (Buchhaupt et al., 2018)
- 3. Use of the **ECHO-CAL** as main CAL1 instrument correction source (high rate calibrations)

\geq Evolution: Improving LR and HR GMSL \rightarrow Use of ECHO CAL

ECHO CAL - INNOVATION FROM POS4 IN S6MF

- P4 instrument has an embedded cal1 pulse within the science measurement → ECHO CAL
- It detects both the long term, and the short term instrument impulse response (IR) evolutions along the orbit \rightarrow IR dependency on temperature





\geq Evolution: Improving LR and HR GMSL \rightarrow Evolutions test results

Courtesy: S. Dinardo

Validation: Prototyping using CNES/CLS S6PP

LR: range and SWH impacts of PTR shape evolution:

(Side A) -0.48mm/y in range – 3% 8,1mm/year in SWH = 0,75mm/year

HR: additional drift due the range walk omission

(Side A) -1.75mm/year

Publications: Salvatore Dinardo (CLS)

- In-Flight Calibration and Performances Monitoring (submitted)
- Main Scientific Results from S6PP LRM and UF-SAR chains in the first year of the mission (in prep)



SERIES HRM Range/SWH/Sig0 Diff | STC | 1 DAY MEAN | CLS/CNES S6PP DATA v/o RW-CZT and with RW-CZT Range Diff [mm] Side-A Slope: -1.766 mm/vear Side-B Slope: -7.633 mm/yea HRM ū 70 0202 5.5 Diff w/o RW-CZT and with RW-CZT SWH Diff [cm] G 27 06 202 07 20

(HR) differences between w/o and with RW

Evolution: Improving HR SWH Estimates VV effects

 We already showed during S6VT that the discrepancies between the Sentinel-6/MF LR and HR SWH measurements can be attributed to the ocean waves' vertical motion.

PDAP EVOLUTIONS FORESEEN FOR SWH:

- Cancellation of Doppler Ambiguities within the stack by using less stack Doppler beams to form the multi-looked L1B waveform
 - Simple L1 configuration tuning: recent results from testing this in reprocessing campaign are available hereafter.
- Cancellation of VV effects using a more sophisticated methodology derived by NOAA team, and already tested by CNES team with their S6PP.
 - Use or numerical retracker + LUT table. Results also available hereafter.

Evolution: Improving HR SWH Estimates VV effects



\succ Evolution: Improving HR SWH Estimates \rightarrow VV effects

- We have generated a look up table correction for the HR SWH measurements, that depends on the LR SWH (Hs) and mean wave period, T02 (from wave model).
- The application of this correction highly reduces the biases between the HR and LR SWH measurements and cleans up the SWH spectrum.
- Applying this correction is essential for the consistency of the HR and LR datasets.
- Assuming deep-water waves and that the dispersion relationship applies, we can compute sv for unidirectional waves, bypassing the use of any spectrum of any further assumptions, as:

$$T_{02} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{M_0}{M_2}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{H_s}{\sigma_v}$$

- We computed the HR SWH correction through numerical simulations of Sentinel-6/MF delay/Doppler maps.
- Based on these simulations, we were able to compute a correction for the SWH sea state dependent bias as a function of SWH and the standard deviation of waves vertical velocities (s_v).



Evolution: Improving HR SWH Estimates \rightarrow VV effects

LUT assessment using CNES' S6PP

- The SWH LUT Correction drastically reduces HR SWH bias with respect to ٠ the LR mode data over the open ocean.
 - The results here presented derive from CNES's S6PP using a frequency domain fast convolution numerical retracking approach [Buchhaupt, 2018].
 - s_{ν} is computed based on the Meteo France WAve Model (MFWAM) mean wave | period.
 - PDAP presents an additional bias, which is still under investigation.



Sentinel-6A Diff. SWH SAR-LRM wrt SWH

SWH : S6PP SAR - PDAP LRM

mean: 0.3564 med: 0.3428 max: 2.552 std: 0.1455 -1.168



Evolution: Improving HR SWH Estimates VV effects

• The analysis of the SWH spectrum shows that the application of the SWH LUT Correction reduces the noise level, and makes the longer wavelengths to be in agreement with J3.

🏀 esa 🔄 cnes

13

EUMETSAT



\geq Evolution: Improving HR SWH Estimates \rightarrow Possible Day-3 Evolution

At NOAA-LSA have implemented a physical retracker for the joint estimation of SSH, SWH, sigma-0, and standard deviation
of waves vertical velocities (sigma-V); full derivation and results to be published in the following paper, currently under
preparation:

Conditional Sea Surface Statistics and Their Impact on Geophysical Sea Surface Parameters Retrieved From SAR Altimetry Signals

Christopher Buchhaupt, Alejandro Egido, Walter H. F. Smith, and Luciana Fenoglio

• The results, obtained with CryoSat-2 SAR mode data over the North-East Atlantic, show excellent consistency with SWH and sigma-V data when compared to ECMWF ERA-5 model.



Evolution: Improving HR SSHA Estimates

HR - LR SSHA difference







🔰 Copernicus 🗲 EUMETSAT 🚳 叉 🌋 OSA 🖒 COES

.... 15

Evolution: Improving HR SSHA Estimates



Evolution: Improving HR SSHA Estimates

- The differences between HR and LR SSHA for ascending and descending passes has also been observed by CNES/CLS on S3A data.
- CNES/CLS have shown that this effect has strong correlation with meridional wind component.
- At this moment the effect of up-wind vs down-wind on the SAR waveform is not understood. Further investigation
 is needed.





IMPORTANT NOTE:

These are all approximate dates.



Thank you! Questions are welcome.