



2022 Ocean Surface Topography Science Team (OSTST) meeting

Enhanced GPD+ wet tropospheric corrections for the Copernicus Sentinel-3 missions

M. Joana Fernandes, Clara Lázaro, Telmo Vieira

DGAOT, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Portugal
Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental (CIIMAR)

Outline

- Motivation
- Why GPD+ for Sentinel-3?
- GPD+ for Sentinel-3 Baseline Collection (BC) 004
- BC 005 versus BC 004
- Conclusions

Motivation


- In ocean satellite altimetry, the Wet Tropospheric Correction (WTC), accounting for the path delay in the altimeter signal due to water vapour and cloud liquid water in the atmosphere, is best determined from measurements from passive Microwave Radiometers (MWR), collocated with the altimeter observations.
- MWR possess large footprints (10-40 km), depending on frequency, and current retrieval algorithms are tuned for open ocean conditions.

➔ Consequently, MWR observations become invalid when other type of surfaces (e.g. land or ice), are present in the MWR footprint, leading to the rejection of altimeter observations in coastal zones and polar regions. Thus, there is scope for improving the WTC for S3 in these regions.

- Work performed under EUMETSAT Contract EUM/CO/21/4600002527/CLo Order 4500021007, in response to the EUMETSAT Request for Quotation RFQ 221050 S3 Altimetry GPD + Wet Tropospheric Correction

(<https://www.eumetsat.int/S3-altimetry-GPD-WTC>)

WTC from MWR



MWR WTC (m) for Sentinel-3B cycle 32. Land and ice contamination can be observed.

Why GPD+ for S3?


- **GPD+ (GNSS-derived Path Delay Plus)** is an algorithm for estimating the WTC of radar altimeter observations, using **data combination**, by space-time objective analysis (OA) of **all available valid observations** in the vicinity of the estimation point.
- The algorithm takes into account the accuracy of each observation (white noise) and the variability of the WTC field (spatial and temporal correlation scales).
- In the context of this activity, the purpose is to derive an improved WTC for Sentinel-3 that:
 - ➔ Preserves the valid observations from the best available source (on-board MWR);
 - ➔ Extends the validity of the correction to points where the former WTC is invalid, by estimating new values from external sources.
- The GPD+ WTC has been computed for S3A/B since mission start until April 2021 for BC 004 products.
- Since August 2022, it has been provided operationally to the S3 NTC products. It will be present in all BC 005 NTC products.

GPD+ inputs


- Sentinel-3A/3B STC/NTC products:
 - time, latitude, longitude;
 - MWR WTC (rad_wet_tropo_cor_01_ku, from 3 parameters: TB 23.8, TB 36.5, Ku band σ_0 from SAR retrievals);
 - quality flags
- Available WTC sources used in the data combination (OA) procedure:
 - 1) WTC from a NWM (currently **ERA5**) as **first guest**;
 - 2) **Valid on-board MWR** WTC values from the neighbouring points;
 - 3) WTC from scanning imaging MWR (**SI-MWR**) products from other remote sensing missions (available from RSS and NOAA CLASS);
 - 4) WTC from **GNSS** (from **IGS**, **EPN** and **Suominet**).

Valid on-board MWR values

Location of S3B points for cycle 32 over the Mediterranean. Blue/green points represent valid MWR values, while red points represent invalid observations.




GPD+ inputs: external MWR and GNSS



Location of GNSS stations from IGS, EPN and SuomiNet. Black points represent stations with distance from coast <100 km. The background map represents the WPD SD, in cm.

SI-MWR products

Example of a TCWV product (in mm) from Coriolis (WindSat), ascending passes over one day.



Data pre-processing steps


To ensure **consistency** and **continuity** of GPD+ WTC estimates, various pre-processing steps are required:

- **Quality control** and **calibration** of various input datasets;

This ensures that no large discontinuities should exist in the transition between WTCs that are estimated from GPD+ (using different data sources) or between these and model/MWR values.

- **Computation of the MWR_rej flag** – determines which points are going to be estimated and which preserve the WTC from the on-board radiometer.

S3A points for Sentinel-3A cycle 51 with invalid MWR observations: **green** and **green** – land contamination; **blue** – ice contamination; **pink** – rain or outliers (**23% of all points, 14% of the points with valid SLA**).




Radiometer calibration

All radiometers used in GPD+ are calibrated against **SSM/I** and **SSM/IS**.


Calibration is performed in 3 steps:

- **Step 1** – TP, J1, J2, J3 → **SSM/I** (using matching points)
- **Step 2** – remaining SI-MWR → TP, J1, J2, J3 (using matching points)
- **Step 3** – non-reference altimeter missions (**3A, 3B**) → TP, J1, J2, **J3** (using crossovers)
- Calibration usually uses 3 parameters: offset, scale factor and linear trend.
- In the current study, **only the first 2 parameters were used**.
- Since calibration parameters for S3A/B are small, currently these are not applied.
- S3A measures dryer than J3 by 2 mm.
- S3B and J3 are aligned.

Mean WPD differences between calibrated J3 (against SSMIS) and S3A (top) and S3B (bottom) (daily and 60-day means)



Offset = 0.16 cm
Scale factor = 1.00
Mean = 0.19 cm
RMS = 1.11 cm



Offset = 0.08 cm
Scale factor = 0.99
Mean = 0.02 cm
RMS = 1.10 cm


GPD+ product validation

Assessment and validation has been performed by means of:

- ➔ **Analysis of data completeness and data recovery**
- ➔ **Comparison with various WTC datasets:**
 - S3A/B Baseline MWR;
 - ECMWF model present in the S3A/B SRAL/MWR products and with ERA5;
 - WTC from GNSS.
- ➔ **Analysis of SLA variance and RMS differences at crossovers**


Product Validation Report: <https://www.eumetsat.int/media/49075>

GPD product assessment – Data completeness




GPD product assessment – Data recovery

S3A







S3B




Percentage of points with MWR_Rej flag=1, i.e., with invalid on-board MWR WTC, recovered by GPD+, for the whole set of ocean measurements (orange) and for points with valid SLA (blue).

GPD product assessment – Comparison with MWR and ERA5: Pass examples



Example of passes showing various WTC: ERA5 (blue), baseline MWR (red) and GPD+ (black). Grey bars represent points with invalid MWR (MWR_rej flag \neq 0).


GPD product assessment – comparison with GNSS




WPD differences between GNSS and on-board MWR (●), ‘standard’ GPD+ (□) and “a la CryoSat” GPD (●). In the first comparison, only points with valid MWR values, except for the criterion related with distance from coast, have been used. In all other comparisons, all points have been used.

GPD product assessment – SLA RMS diff. at crossovers (S3A)

GPD-ERA5




GPD-MWR




Spatial distribution of the weighted SLA RMS differences at crossovers (XO) (%) between GPD+ and ERA5 (left) and between GPD+ and on-board MWR (right) over the period corresponding to S3A cycles 03 to 71.

BC 004 versus BC 005

WTC for S3A cycle 39, pass 715
MWR WTC on BC 005 is invalid



WTC for S3B cycle 11, pass 65
MWR WTC on BC 004 is invalid



Various WTC for S3A cycle 39, pass 715 (left) and S3B cycle 11, pass 65 (right):


ERA5 – blue

MWR BC 004 – red


MWR BC 005- black

BC 004 versus BC 005: MWR WTC for S3B cycle 011

$WTC_{BC004} - WTC_{BC005}$ function of distance to coast



$WTC_{BC004} - WTC_{BC005}$ function of S3B passes



Descending tracks: WTC_{BC005} measures drier than WTC_{BC004}

Ascending tracks: WTC_{BC005} measures wetter than WTC_{BC004}


For S3B cycle 011, passes **65, 66 and 67** WTC_{BC004} is invalid (totally or a portion).

MWR WTC BC 004 versus BC 005: mean cycle differences

$WTC_{BC004} - WTC_{BC005}$: mean cycle differences for S3A, year 2018

Descending tracks: WTC BC005 measures dryer than WTC BC004 – constant difference along the year.

Ascending tracks: WTC BC005 measures wetter than WTC BC004 – seasonal differences, larger in the NH summer.



Conclusions (1)

This study shows that the GPD+ WTC for S3A and S3B:

- ➔ **Preserve the properties of the best available WTC (on-board MWR), further extending this correction to regions and epochs where and when the former is not valid;**
- ➔ **Are continuous and consistent corrections, valid for all points in the S3A/B marine product;**
- ➔ **Are calibrated against the SSMI/S dataset, taken as reference;**
- ➔ **Improve data coverage, mostly in coastal and polar regions, filling gaps in the valid MWR observations;**
- ➔ **Are improved WTC both w.r.t. the MWR WTC for each satellite and to the ECMWF model-derived WTC;**
- ➔ **Since August 2022, the GPD+ WTC are being provided operationally to the S3 NTC products. It will be available on the reprocessed BC 005 NTC products.**

Conclusions (2)

Comparison between MWR WTC on BC 004 and BC 005:

- ➔ The global differences between MWR WTC BC 004 and BC 005 are small, at millimeter level. These are due to small differences in TB 23.8 GHz and σ_0 .
- ➔ BC 005 tandem phase - same as for BC 004: $\text{WTC (S3A)} - \text{WTC (S3B)} = 0.16 \pm 0.19 \text{ cm}$.
- ➔ There are passes, or portion of passes, with invalid WTC BC 004 but valid WTC BC 005, while on others the opposite happens.
- ➔ There are systematic differences between ascending and descending tracks.
 - For descending tracks WTC BC 005 measures dryer than WTC BC 004 – constant difference throughout the year.
 - For ascending tracks WTC BC 005 measures wetter than WTC BC 004 – seasonal difference, maximum in the NH summer.

S3A cycle 003

