## First steps toward an improvement of open ocean WTC using HRMR high-frequency channels

Bruno Picard, Fluctus, Rabastens, France









## MWR for WTC retrieval

- historical AMR-C low-frequency channels 18.7/23.8/34 GHz ~25 km

- brand new HRMR high-frequency channels 90/130/166 GHz ~3-5 km



## Higher frequencies =

## - better spatial resolution

3

- less sensitive to the surface

- more sensitive to precipitation



HF are a direct (from observations) solution to two areas of research for the improvement of the WTC

- coastal areas (see S. Brown slides)

- cloudy / precipitation conditions

#### The variations of the WTC are highly correlated to the variations of the TB ...



#### ... which are (also) correlated to the precipitations

Is it possible to take advantage of the HF channels (resolution + physic) in order to improve the WTC under such conditions ?





#### Dataset:

#### - AMR Level 2 NTC F07 (from Eumetsat <u>https://archive.eumetsat.int/usc/L</u> <u>serServicesClient.html</u>) (also special delivery from R. Scharroo)

- Hydro-SAF H60 NRT product https://hsaf.meteoam.it/ roduct images (click on image for animation, frame selection and zoom)

EUMETSAT H SAF P-IN-SEVIRI-PMW (H60) Instantaneous rain rate retrieved from IR-MW blending data

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

Geostationay Seviri Ik + MW blending

#### few km of spatial resolution

#### 1 RR product every 15min

## "closest" time wrt HRMR

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

2022-09-15

## cycle 68 Passes 59 to 75

07:30 to 23:30

TB @166 GHz [K]

RR [0,10] mm/hr

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Pass 61

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Pass 63

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Pass 73

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

# The scattering effect leads to lower TB

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Illustration of the spatial resolution

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Unseen precipitation or small island?

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Water vapour or rain cell ?

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Small details

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Potential tuning on rad rain flag

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Is there independent information that could be used to provide a better WTC ?

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

Toward an empirical approach that would include HRMR HF:

- simulationcalibration
- learning

#### <u>Simulations</u>

RTTOV provides simulations (bottom) very close to observations (top) including the depression on the TB due to the scattering effect

(CNES study)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

DTB [K]

#### <u>Calibration</u>

Comparison of

#### simulated TB based on ERA5 simulations

with

observations

nothing to report

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### <u>Calibration</u>

Comparison of

simulated TB based on ERA5 simulations

with

observations

nothing to report

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Learning

#### work in progress

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Conclusion

- very first illustration of collocated HF TB in the context of an altimetry mission !

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Conclusion

- Using collocated precipitation allows to better understand

impact on WTCbehaviour of LF wrt HF

- critical for the design of future L2 retrieval

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Conclusion

- still a lot of work to do

35

but the combination of AMR-C and HRMR measurements is a unique opportunity to demonstrate the benefit of HF observations for future missions