ML/Al algorithms can provide more

accurate rain flags for SARAL/AltiKa

than the one currently in the product

Detecting rain cells in SARAL/AltiKa data: results from a supervised learning experiment

Pierre Prandi, Benjamin Pelvet, Julien Bocage and Gérald Dibarboure

pprandi@groupcls.com

Background

- Ka-band altimetry is more sensitive to the presence of rain cells
- On SARAL, such events are currently detected by a matching pursuit(MP) algorithm (following Tournadre et al.)
- The resulting flag is not super selective as is detects other events (e.g. mispointing)

- Here we rely on basic ML algorithms (KNN, random forests),
- Features (predictors) include measures of the spread within the 40Hz (e.g. std of range)
- We also include radiometer brightness temperatures as features
- Our ML based flags (right) are more accurate at detecting rain events (left) than the MP flag (center) which includes other events (sigma blooms, short scale mispointing variations)



Method

- Collocate SARAL with SSMI rain rate data (dt < 5 mins)
- Set rain_flag to True as soon as SSMI rain 2. rate is positive
- Use this as a training set for different 3. supervised learning algorithms
- Predict rain flag on independent data 4.
- Evaluate prediction performance with 5. standard (precision, recall) and Cal/Val metrics (e.g. impact on SSHA variance at Xovers)

Conclusions

- The precision of even simple models suggests that the two populations (rain/non rain) are \bullet easily separable at least at 1Hz,
- This approach could provide improvements to Ka-band altimetry missions,
- This could also be tested on Ku-band altimetry, \bullet
- This only applies when a reliable "ground truth" is available to train models





Take a picture to get extra information

