

Improvements and limitations of recent MSS models: importance for Sentinel-3

> M.-I. Pujol, P. Schaeffer, Y. Faugère (CLS), G. Dibarboure, N. Picot (CNES)



Overview

- MSS models error estimation at short wavelengths :
 - CNES_CLS_2015
 - DTU_2018
 - SCRIPPS_2016
- Specific Sentinel-3A Hybrid Mean Profile (HMP) estimation.
- Perspectives for HMP and MSS models improvement



methodology:

- Based on SLA comparison between 2 cycles
- Sentinel-3A used as independent measurement
- Focus on WL [15, 100km]

3 assumptions:

1) There is no covariance between the SLA signal and the MSS errors → We use a mission/period independent from MSS computation: S3PP/CNES Sentinel-3A (20Hz)

2) The SLA signal is completely decorrelated between the two cycles considered \rightarrow We chose A and B far enough from each other

3) The MSS error is the same whatever the cycle considered \rightarrow we use a repetitive mission

We consider :

- H = SLA signal including the MSS errors (e) and the SLA signal free from MSS errors (h)
- A and B = two different cycles



Pujol et al (JGR 2018; https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JC013503)

COLLECTE LOCALEMENT SATELL



CNES_CLS_2015 (Schaeffer et al, 2015):

Based on combination of altimeter measurement from repetitive missions Topex/Jason-1/Jason2, Topex/Jason-1 interleaved, ERS1/ERS-2/Envisat/SARAL-Altika and non-repetitive missions Cryosat-2/Jason-1GM

CNES_CLS_2015 model error description :

- Globally 0,46 cm² of error estimated for wavelengths ranging from 15 to 100km:
 - 38% of the "noise-free" SLA variance
 - dominated by omission errors
- Locally, error can reach more than 1 cm²



2 others MSS models tested:

- SCRIPPS (Sandwell, 2016):
 - Based on CNES_CLS_2015 MSS for long-wavelengths (>30km); based on Cryosat-2; Jason-1GM, SARAL-DP/Altika for the short wavelengths
- DTU_2018 (Andersen, 2018):
 - Based on combination of altimeter measurement from repetitive missions Topex/Jason-1/Jason2, ERS1/ERS-2/Envisat/SARAL-Altika and Cryosat-2/Jason-1GM/Sentinel-3A for short wavelengths and coastal areas
- → Same methodology applied for MSSs error estimation along Sentinel-3A tracks
- → Analysis completed with one cycle of Sentinel-3B measurement



MSS SCRIPPS_V3 error Wavelengths 15 100 km



(MSS CNES15 error) - (MSS SCRIPPS_V3 error) Wavelengths 15 100 km



Gridded MSSs errors at short WL

SCRIPPS MSS error description along S3A tracks:

- Globally 0,29 cm² (24% of the 'noise-free' SLA variance) at WL 15-100km
- -37% reduction of the omission errors vs CNES_CLS-2015:
 - Off-shore geodetic structures better resolved
 - Costal areas are also globally improved

CLS



DTU_2018 MSS error signature along S3B tracks:

- Reduction of the omission errors vs CNES_CLS_2015 model along geodetic structures → local reduction of the SLA variance ~-0,5 cm², mainly at WL [25, 100km]
- Local increase of the SLA variance probably due to commission error in DTU_2018 MSS model (ocean variability + residual noises) → ~+0,5 cm², mainly at WL [15, 25km]







MSS estimation improved along the Sentinel-3 tracks



A Hybrid Mean Profile as been estimated along Sentinel-3A tracks:

- Temporal mean of Sentinel-3A measurements over 17 cycles resolves the wavelengths 15-100km
- Combined with the CNES_CLS_2015 for retrieving the wavelengths > 100km

→ The HMP can better resolve small geodetic structures than the gridded MSS CNES_CLS15 → reduction of the omission errors



MSS estimation improved along the Sentinel-3 tracks



The HPM was assessed using two independent cycles of Sentinel-3A measurement:

- MP errors is 0,2 cm² i.e. 17% of the estimated noise free SLA variance or less than 50% of the gridded MSS CNES_CLS_2015 model error at WL 15-100km
- Significant reduction of the errors also observed in coastal areas: reduction by a factor 2 to 10
- Commission errors explain 75% of the HMP errors



MSS estimation improved along the Sentinel-3 tracks



HPM better resolves the short wavelength signal than the most recent MSS (SCRIPPS and DTU2018)

- Globally 0,5 cm² reduction at WL 15-100km
- Locally up to 1 cm² (offshore) and 2 cm² (coast)



Perspectives of improvement of the MSS solutions

Improve the Sentinel-3A HMP estimation:

 Use additional measurement accumulated since the beginning of the mission : more than 3-years of measurements now available

HMP computed using nearly 2 years of measurements yet available with SAR processing :

- 0,12 cm² error (10% of the estimated noise free SLA variance)
- 1Hz version used in operational along-track L3 Sentinel-3A production (available on CMEMS) since April 2019



S3A

Perspectives of improvement of the MSS solutions

Improve the Sentinel-3A HMP estimation:

- Use up-to-date processing & standards: e.g. LR-RMC processing [Boy, 2017]
- ➔ Preliminary results with ~2,5y of LR-RMC measurements show a HMP error at WL [100, 15km] of 0,09 cm² (7,5% of the estimated noise free SLA variance)

Preliminary results to be consolidated



conclusions

- Good performances of recent MSS models tested at short wavelengths ([100, 15km])
 - Significant reduction of the omission errors vs CNES_CLS_2015 model
 - Residual commission errors observed on DTU_2018
- Hybrid Mean Profiles defined along uncharted tracks still remain more performant than the grid MSS models

→ Sentinel-3A HMP implemented in operation L3 processing since April 2019



conclusions

- HMP and MSS models can still be improved:
 - Promising results obtained with Sentinel-3A LR-RMC processing
 - First HMP could be estimated for Sentinel-3B from spring 2020 (need to accumulated cycles) for NRT application
 - New MSS CNES_CLS model is in preparation
- Future contribution of the SWOT mission:
 - Important to reduce the MSSs error in order to access to the small scale signal with SWOT during the first months of the mission
 - ~10months of 21-day orbit measurement needed to reach the breakthrough MSS error level (Dibarboure et Pujol, 2019)





Thanks

